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ABSTRACT: The tungsten-dependent enzyme acetylene hydratase catalyzes the hydration of acetylene to acetaldehyde. Very recently, we proposed a reaction mechanism for this enzyme based on density functional calculations (Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2010, 107, 22523). The mechanism involves direct coordination of the substrate to the tungsten ion, followed by a nucleophilic attack by a water molecule concerted with a proton transfer to a second-shell aspartate, which then reprotonates the substrate. Here, we use the same methodology to investigate the factors involved in the control of the chemoselectivity of this enzyme. The hydration reactions of three representative compounds (propyne, ethylene, and acetonitrile) are investigated using a large model of the active site. The energy of substrate binding to the metal ion and the barrier for the following nucleophilic attack are used to rationalize the experimental observations. It is shown that all three compounds have higher barriers for hydration compared with acetylene. In addition, propyne is shown to have a larger binding energy, explaining its behavior as a competitive inhibitor. Taken together, the results provide further corroboration of our suggested mechanism for acetylene hydratase.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Acetylene hydratase (AH) is a tungsten-dependent bacterial enzyme that catalyzes the hydration of acetylene to acetaldehyde.1–3 The acetaldehyde product is used by aldehyde dehydrogenase to generate acetyl-coenzyme A, which phosphate acetyltransferase then uses to produce acetylphosphate. This, in turn, reacts with ADP to generate ATP, a reaction catalyzed by acetate kinase.4 The energy of the last reaction is used for the growth of certain aerobic bacteria. Acetylene thus provides an important source of carbon and energy for these bacteria.

The crystal structure of AH has been reported and reveals that the tungsten ion in the active site is hexa-coordinated, with the first-shell coordination consisting of two pterin cofactors, a cysteine residue (Cys141), and a water molecule.5 An important second-shell residue, Asp13, forms a hydrogen bond to the tungsten-bound water molecule. WVI has been demonstrated to be the reactive form, whereas WVI is inactive.3,8

Very recently, we used quantum chemical calculations to investigate the reaction mechanism of this enzyme.9 A quite large model of the active site was designed, and the B3LYP hybrid density functional theory method10,11 was used to calculate the potential energy profiles for several possible mechanistic scenarios. On the basis of the calculations, a new first-shell mechanism was suggested, as shown in Scheme 1. In this mechanism, the acetylene substrate first displaces the tungsten-bound water molecule. This is followed by a nucleophilic attack on acetylene by the water molecule, facilitated by the ionized second-shell Asp13 residue, whichconcertedly with the nucleophilic attack abstracts a proton from the water molecule. In the next step, Asp13 delivers the proton back to the vinyl anion intermediate, affording vinyl alcohol. Finally, the isomerization to acetaldehyde takes place with the assistance of Asp13. Asp13 is thus crucial for the activity of the enzyme, a fact confirmed by recent mutational studies.12 Other suggested mechanisms have been shown to have high energy barriers. These include a second-shell electrophilic addition mechanism;7 a first-shell one-step mechanism involving water nucleophilic attack on acetylene, facilitated by a neutral Asp13 residue;13 and a first-shell mechanism proceeding through a W=C=CH2 vinylidene intermediate.14 Interestingly, a reaction mechanism similar to the one of Scheme 1 has also been proposed for acetylene hydration catalyzed by a biomimetic tungsten complex.15 It is suggested that a tungsten-bound hydroxide plays the key role performed by Asp13 in the enzyme.

Somewhat peculiarly, it has been found experimentally that AH is not able to hydrate substituted alkenes, such as propyne, p-tolylacetylene, acetylene monocarboxylate, and acetylene dicarboxylate. Instead, these compounds were found to be competitive inhibitors.12 Similar observations were made for the smaller compounds carbon monoxide and cyanide.2 In addition, AH has been known to have no activity toward ethylene or...
It is not known whether these compounds at all bind to the tungsten ion.

To rationalize these observations and to examine whether they can be explained within the framework of the proposed reaction mechanism of AH (Scheme 1), we have in the present study used the same quantum chemical cluster approach adopted in our previous investigation. Three representative substrates were chosen—namely, propyne, ethylene, and acetonitrile—and the energies involved in their binding and hydration reactions at the AH active site were calculated.

II. COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

The calculations presented here were performed using the same methods as in the previous study on the AH mechanism. All calculations were performed using the Gaussian03 program package with the B3LYP functional. Geometries were optimized with the LANL2TZ(f) pseudopotential for W; 6-311+G(d) for S; and 6-31G(d,p) for C, N, O, and H. Energies were then obtained from single-point calculations on the optimized structures using the larger basis set 6-311+G(2d,2p) for all elements except W, for which the LANL2TZ(f) basis set was used. The singlet state was considered for all stationary points, since the triplet state lies ∼20 kcal/mol higher. Solvation effects from the missing protein surrounding were evaluated by performing single-point calculations on the optimized structures using the conductor-like polarizable continuum model at the same level of theory as the geometry optimizations. The dielectric constant was set equal to 4, in line with previous modeling of enzymes. Hessians were calculated to obtain the zero-point energies (ZPE). The procedure of keeping a number of atoms fixed in the geometry optimizations (see below), gives rise to several small imaginary frequencies, in this case on the order of 10−40 cm⁻¹. These contribute insignificantly to the ZPE and can thus be disregarded. The final energies reported below include both solvation effects and ZPE effects.

III. ACTIVE SITE MODEL

The active site model used to study the reactions of AH with propyne, ethylene, and acetonitrile is the same as the one used
previously to study the reaction of acetylene. It is based on the crystal structure of native AH (PDB entry 2E7Z) and consists of the tungsten ion along with models of its first-shell ligands, including the two pterin molecules, the Met140-Cys141-Ile142 peptide, and a water molecule as well as a number of potentially important second-shell residues: Cys12, Asp13, Trp179, and Arg606. The pterin molecules and the amino acid residues were truncated so that in principle only the functional groups were kept in the model, as shown in Figure 1. Hydrogen atoms were added manually. Following the results of our previous study, Asp13 was modeled in the ionized form. To maintain the overall structure of the active site and prevent the various groups from making artificial movements not allowed in the enzyme environment, the truncated atoms were fixed to their corresponding positions from the X-ray structure during the geometry optimizations. This is a standard procedure used in the cluster approach for modeling enzyme active sites and reaction mechanisms. The fixed atoms are marked with asterisks in figures below. The total sizes of the model in complex with propyne, ethylene, and acetonitrile, are 119, 118, and 118 atoms, respectively, and the overall charge is −1 for all models.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Before discussing the new results regarding the reactions of propyne, ethylene, and acetonitrile, it is useful to summarize the main findings on the mechanism of acetylene hydration shown in Scheme 1. The first step (React → Int1) is a ligand exchange in which the substrate displaces the tungsten-bound water molecule and binds to the metal in an η2 fashion. The step was calculated to be exothermic by 5.4 kcal/mol. Next, the displaced water molecule performs a nucleophilic attack on the acetylene concomitantly with a proton transfer to Asp13 to yield the vinyl anion intermediate Int2. The barrier for this step was calculated to be 16.9 kcal/mol relative to Int1. The following step (Int2 → Int3) was found to be rate-limiting and involves a proton transfer from Asp13 back to the carbon of the substrate to give vinyl alcohol. The overall barrier, that is, relative to Int1, was calculated to be...
The calculations thus show that propyne binds to the tungsten better than acetylene, and the barriers for the nucleophilic attack and the following protonation of the carbon are much higher. These two facts explain why propyne acts as a competitive inhibitor of AH. The same conclusions could be extended to other electron-donating substituents on the acetylene.

It should be added that the tautomerization steps to yield the final product were not studied for propyne because they are irrelevant, considering the high barriers obtained for the first steps.

IV.B. Hydration of Ethylene. The reactivities of ethylene and acetylene have been demonstrated to be quite similar in many different types of reactions. Quantum chemical calculations have been used to compare the relative reactivities in, for example, cycloaddition, phosphinidene addition, sulfur addition, borohydride cation addition, hydration on zeolite, and ylidic radical addition. The barriers for these reactions were found to be rather close between ethylene and acetylene, with the largest difference on the order of 4 kcal/mol.

As mentioned in the Introduction, AH effects the chemoselective hydration of acetylene in the presence of ethylene. Here, we calculate the energy profile for ethylene hydration to investigate whether this observation can be rationalized within the proposed mechanism. The optimized structures are shown in Figure 4, and the potential energy profile is given in Figure 5.

In contrast to acetylene and propyne, the initial ligand exchange step in the case of ethylene (React_{ethy} → Int_{ethy}^1) is calculated to be slightly endothermic (+0.7 kcal/mol). Ethylene, being a 2e donor with its π orbital interacting with one empty d orbital of tungsten, thus binds weaker than acetylene, which is a 4e donor (see MO interaction diagrams in the Supporting Information). In addition, the occupied d orbital of tungsten back-donates two electrons to the unoccupied π* orbital of ethylene, resulting in an even higher π*-like orbital (combination of π*-orbital and d orbital), which should make the following nucleophilic attack in the next step less favorable.

The optimized transition state structure for the nucleophilic attack (TS\textsubscript{ethy}) is shown in Figure 4. Indeed, the barrier for the nucleophilic attack is calculated to be 30.0 kcal/mol relative to Int\textsubscript{ethy}^1 (30.7 kcal/mol relative to React\textsubscript{ethy}). In the hydration of acetylene, the nucleophilic attack is directed perpendicularly to the W–C–C plane. In the case of ethylene, a perpendicular attack is impossible, and the only option left for the attack is from the top of the ethylene plane, which is similar to the anti addition in the Pd-catalyzed Wacker process. At TS\textsubscript{ethy}, the nascent C1–O bond is 1.83 Å, and C1 dissociates from tungsten with a distance of 2.85 Å. The resulting intermediate (Int\textsubscript{ethy}^2) lies at +22.5 kcal/mol relative to React\textsubscript{ethy}. It should be noted that the syn addition in which both the ethylene and the nucleophile coordinate to the metal, as the alternative pathway suggested for Pd(II) in the Wacker process, is impossible in AH because...
the hexa-coordinated tungsten cannot accommodate both ethylene and water at the same time.

The calculations thus demonstrate that formation of a tungsten–ethylene complex is energetically less favorable compared with tungsten–acetylene, and most importantly, the subsequent nucleophilic attack step is associated with a high barrier. Taken together, these results explain why hydration of ethylene cannot take place at the AH active site.

IV.C. Hydration of Acetonitrile. In some bacteria, the conversion of nitriles to their corresponding amides is catalyzed by nitrile hydratases, which require low-spin Fe(III) or Co(III) for their activity.43 Recent quantum chemical model calculations have shown that the most likely mechanism involves a direct end-on coordination of the nitrile to the metal ion.44–46 An oxygenated cysteine ligand (Cys141–SO\(^{-}\)) was suggested to abstract a proton from the nucleophilic water molecule to facilitate the attack, and the role of the metal is then to stabilize the anionic imidate intermediate, thereby lowering the barrier.44

This mechanism has similarities to the proposed mechanism of Scheme 1 for acetylene hydration, with the ionized Asp13 being the catalytic base, which might indicate that nitriles also are activated by AH. However, it has been experimentally demonstrated that this enzyme is inert toward these compounds.3 To understand this observation, we calculate here the energies involved in the hydration of acetonitrile at the AH active site model.

Acetonitrile can bind to tungsten both end-on (see Int\(_{\text{nitr-end-on}}\) of Figure 6) or side-on (Int\(_{\text{nitr-side-on}}\) of Figure 7), as has been observed in a number of Mo- and W-nitrile complexes.47–49 For both binding modes, the initial ligand exchange step is calculated to be quite endothermic, 7.8 kcal/mol for end-on and 9.3 kcal/mol for side-on.

From Int\(_{\text{nitr-end-on}}\), Asp13 activates the water molecule to perform the nucleophilic attack on nitrile C1 via TS\(_{\text{nitr-end-on}}\) to form an imidate intermediate Int\(_{\text{2nitr-end-on}}\). The barrier is calculated to be 16.5 kcal/mol relative to Int\(_{\text{nitr-end-on}}\) that is,
25.8 kcal/mol relative to React$_{nitr}$. The barrier is 2.8 kcal/mol higher than that of acetylene hydration. At TS$_{1nitr-end-on}$, the O–C1 distance is 1.75 Å, and the water proton is located at a distance of 1.10 Å from the water oxygen and 1.32 Å from the Asp13 carboxylate oxygen. During the attack, the W–N bond length decreases from 2.14 Å in Int$_{1nitr-end-on}$ to 1.91 Å in Int$_{2nitr-end-on}$. The interaction with the W$^{IV}$ ion thus provides electrostatic stabilization on the negative charge of the intermediate.

A very fast proton transfer from Asp13 to the imidate intermediate takes place after its formation, leading to a neutral intermediate Int$_{3nitr-end-on}$ which is 3.0 kcal/mol lower than React$_{nitr}$. Further isomerization to acetamide can easily occur in solution, as demonstrated by previous theoretical calculations. The rate-limiting step in the hydration of acetonitrile is thus the nucleophilic attack (see potential energy graph in Figure 8), which is consistent with the findings for nitrile hydratase but in contrast to acetylene hydration, for which the following proton transfer was found to be rate-limiting (see above).

For the alternative side-on binding mode, the optimized transition state for the nucleophilic attack and the resulting intermediate are shown in Figure 7. This pathway is calculated to have a much higher barrier (34.8 kcal/mol), which rules out this possibility.

The overall barrier of acetonitrile hydration is thus calculated to be 2.8 kcal/mol higher than acetylene hydration. Although this energy difference is too small to draw firm conclusions about the reactivity, it is in the right direction, which is consistent with the experimental observation.

Figure 5. Calculated potential energy profile for ethylene hydration. Values in parentheses are without solvation correction.

Figure 6. Optimized structures of the reactant, intermediates and transition states along the reaction pathway for acetonitrile hydration in end-on substrate binding. For clarity, only the central part of the model is shown. For full model, see Figure 1.
V. CONCLUSIONS

In the present paper, density functional calculations were performed to study the reactions of propyne, ethylene, and acetonitrile with acetylene hydratase. For all three compounds, the calculated barriers are higher than that for the natural substrate acetylene. For propyne, the binding energy to the tungsten is shown to be $\sim 5$ kcal/mol more than that of acetylene, and the following steps have an overall barrier of $\sim 35$ kcal/mol. These two facts explain why propyne acts as a competitive inhibitor of AH.

The binding of ethylene to tungsten, on the other hand, is shown to be $\sim 6$ kcal/mol worse than acetylene. The following nucleophilic attack is calculated to have a very high barrier, showing thus that ethylene cannot be a substrate of AH.

For acetonitrile, the calculations show that the binding to the metal is as much as 13 kcal/mol worse than acetylene, but the overall barrier for hydration is only $\sim 3$ kcal/mol higher. The trend is thus consistent with the experimental observation that nitriles are not substrates of AH, but the obtained energy difference is not sufficient to draw firm conclusions about these substrates.

Finally, it is important to point out that the fact that the calculations reproduce the experimental observations provides further support to our previously suggested mechanism.
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